



REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

“Professional Engineering Design Services for Mell Ave CSX Crossing Multi-Leg Intersection safety and Operational Improvements”

- SPLOST 09

RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS

11/15/2021

Question #1 Received on November 12th, 2012 at 2:59 PM :

- (1) The financial information section is limited to 3 pages on page 14 of the RFP. However, on page 15, it is noted that audited financial information should be provided for the past 2 years as well as any quarterly financial statements; combined, these materials alone are much longer than 3 pages. Can this financial information be submitted as a separate document and exempt from page limits?
- (2) The GDOT Prequal 5.06 includes 5 sub-categories (A – E). Should the project team be prequalified in each category?
- (3) It is our understanding that all traffic data collection, analysis and design traffic needs to adhere to GDOT Traffic Forecasting methodology – is this correct? This would assume all steps would be followed for review of GDOT Office of Planning.
- (4) Has any previous coordination been completed with CSX Railroad regarding this planned project?
- (5) It is our understanding that intersection lighting is expected for the possible (2) signalized intersections. Is pedestrian lighting anticipated?
- (6) Is any landscaping anticipated for this project?
- (7) With this project following full GDOT PDP and the recent GDOT software preference transitioning to OpenRoads, is OpenRoads the preferred design software?
- (8) Are Construction Engineering and Inspection (CEI) services anticipated for this project?

- (9) Are SUE services anticipated for this project?
- (10) Are geotechnical services anticipated for this project?
- (11) Is Right-of-Way and easement staking anticipated for this project?
- (12) It appears a portion of this project could potentially fall outside of city limits. Should we assume coordination with DeKalb County as well for plan review, specifically traffic signal(s)?
- (13) It is assumed that no MS4 needs to be completed as part of this project. Is this correct?

Response to Question #1:

- 1. Yes.
- 2. No. Area Classification requirements for Sections 5.03 to 5.07 shall be removed from the RFP
- 3. Yes – this is correct. The Office of Planning’s procedure will need to be followed
- 4. No
- 5. No pedestrian lighting
- 6. Yes but minimal. Only opportunity for landscaping will occur within the footprint of the existing Northern Ave that will be abandoned after re-alignment
- 7. All firm’s proposing on this project shall be well-versed in all GDOT adopted design software; Microstation, Inroads or OpenRoads. This includes multiple-versions of these roadway design software packages
- 8. No
- 9. The selected consultant shall include Quality Level B (QL-B) subsurface utility engineering (SUE) services in the submittal
- 10. Yes – for pavement design services (relocation of Northern)
- 11. Yes
- 12. The southern end of the Northern Ave re-alignment could be located in un-incorporated DeKalb County depending on the final design alignment. All signals and the remaining work is located within the city limits. Submittal to the county will not be required.
- 13. Correct. No MS4